

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),

Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Er. Jatinder Kumar Gupta,**

General Secretary,

Suchna Adhikar Manch (Regd.),

Deputy Chief Engineer PSPCL (Retd.),

#400, Phase-2, Urban Estate,

Patiala – 147 002.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

o/o Patiala Development Authority,

Patiala – 147 001.

**First Appellate Authority,**

o/o Chief Administrator, Patiala Development Authority,

Patiala.

## Appeal Case No. 485 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Er. Jatinder Kumar Gupta (Appellant) 96461-69400

Ravinder Kaur, APIO-cum-AEO (for the Respondent) 98784-30657

Monika Gupta, Dealing Assistant (for the Respondent) 98555-20759

ORDER:

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 5.11.2020)*

1. The RTI application is dated **6.9.2019** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding PDA-Omaxe City at Baran in five points**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **6.11.2019** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **24.1.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **5.11.2020**.

2. Both parties are present through Video Conference facility at the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Patiala. The respondent PIO, represented by Ravinder Kaur, APIO-cum-AEO and Monika Gupta, Dealing Assistant, have supplied the information pertaining to points 3 & 4 of the RTI application as directed by this Commission at the last hearing. The appellant has acknowledged that he now has possession of complete information requested in the RTI application.

3. From a perusal of the case file, it is evident that the respondent PIO replied to the RTI application within the stipulated period of 30 days. However, the information then provided was partial and the remaining was subsequently supplied, following the first appeal and this Second Appeal.

Contd. ...2

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),

Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)



## Appeal Case No. 485 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

4. This Commission advises the respondent PIO to be more careful in addressing RTI applications in future and endeavor to provide all the information available in the record, with the proviso that such information does not qualify for exemption as per the RTI Act 2005.

5. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED.**

**Sd/-**  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh  
7.1.2021

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),

Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Raj Singh**

S/o Late Ishar Singh,

Village Toddarwal,

PO Babarpur, Tehsil Nabha,

District Patiala – 147 201.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,

Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority,**

o/o Inspector General of Police,

Patiala Range, Patiala.

### Appeal Case No. 1948 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 94643-92733

ORDER:

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 5.11.2020)*

1. The RTI application is dated **19.3.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding FIR No.110 dated 9.11.2013**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **27.5.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **27.7.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **5.11.2020**.

2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Hakam Singh, has stated that the appellant has submitted in writing that he has received the information and is satisfied with it.

3. The respondent PIO is directed to send a copy of the appellant's receipt, to this Commission. At the last hearing on 5.11.2020 the appellant was given an opportunity to examine the information sent to him on 2.9.2020 and list any deficiency. He has however, not communicated any deficiency to this Commission.

Contd. ...2

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),

Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)



## Appeal Case No. 1948 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

4. In view of the above, this Commission sees no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED.**

**Sd/-**

**(ASIT JOLLY)**

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh

7.1.2021